

**To:** Les Grober [GroberL@rb5s.swrcb.ca.gov]  
**Cc:** yeeb@rb5s.swrcb.ca.gov[]  
**Bcc:** []  
**From:** CN=Kathleen Goforth/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US  
**Sent:** Fri 7/25/2003 3:40:29 PM  
**Subject:** Re: URGENT: Possible funding for Delta DO studies

Nope - HQ identified the REC use for Grassland wetland channels as 2nd highest priority! I figured I'd send a separate message to Betty and Rudy about that after I get more info from my management about how they want to proceed.

Kathleen Martyn Goforth  
Life Scientist / ESA Lead / Pesticides Lead  
Clean Water Act Standards & Permits Office  
EPA Region IX (WTR-5)  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
(415) 972-3521

Les Grober <GroberL@rb5s.swrcb.ca.gov>  
07/24/2003 04:24 PM

**To:** Kathleen Goforth/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Mark Gowdy <GowdyM@rb5s.swrcb.ca.gov>  
**cc:** Betty Yee <YeeB@rb5s.swrcb.ca.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: URGENT: Possible funding for Delta DO studies

Mark, Betty and I will meet briefly Friday morning to discuss this. I will get back to you Friday afternoon with an estimated time that we will have the one-pager .

You say DO objectives in Delta is the highest priority in USEPA Region 9-- why? Does this mean REC beneficial use in Grassland channels is low priority?

Les

>>> <Goforth.Kathleen@epamail.epa.gov> 07/24/03 08:25AM >>>  
Les, Mark -

When we met a few weeks ago re: the need to resolve the disapproval of the revision of the Delta D.O. objectives, you indicated that studies would be needed to ascertain the extent to which the current objectives are being met throughout the Delta and what additional/different objectives would be appropriate. You were going to write something up to give me a rough idea of what sort of studies would be needed and a ballpark estimate of the cost. I agreed to assist you in seeking funding. I may have found some. As I had expected, EPA HQ is starting

to put pressure on the Regional Offices to resolve outstanding water quality standards disapprovals (which, of course, means that the Regions will be putting pressure on the states, which means talk of promulgations is in the air). In discussing our outstanding disapprovals with HQ, I raised the need for studies to support appropriate resolution of the Delta D.O. situation. HQ management identified the Delta D.O. disapproval as the highest priority for resolution in our Region, and indicated possible willingness/ability to provide some funding to support the necessary studies. Before they forget they said that, I'd like to present them with an outline of what would be needed. How quickly can you put together that rough proposal and ballpark estimate? We will be briefing our Regional Administrator soon (not yet scheduled, but probably within a week or two), and it would be very helpful if I could have something in hand for that briefing. I'm thinking just a 1-pager outlining the type of studies needed, the anticipated time frame, and rough estimate of cost -- just to get the conversation going.

In addition to the possibility of funding from OST, I just learned that pre-proposals are currently being solicited for the Office of Research and Development's (ORD) Regional Applied Research Effort (RARE). This is a pretty competitive program, and may or may not be an appropriate funding source for the kind of work you need done, but might be worth a shot.

If you can provide me with a 1-pager, I could use that to further the discussion with HQ about possible OST funding, solicit our Regional Administrator's support, and check with our ORD liaison to see if it might be worthwhile to submit a pre-proposal for RARE funding, as well.

Let me know if/how soon you'll be able to do this.

-Kathy

Kathleen Martyn Goforth  
Life Scientist / ESA Lead / Pesticides Lead  
Clean Water Act Standards & Permits Office  
EPA Region IX (WTR-5)  
75 Hawthorne Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
(415) 972-3521